

DFTT-40/93

hep-th/9307170

July 1993

Classification of Structure Constants for W -algebras from Highest Weights

K. Hornfeck*

INFN, Sezione di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

Abstract

We show that the structure constants of W -algebras can be grouped according to the lowest (bosonic) spin(s) of the algebra. The structure constants in each group are described by a unique formula, depending on a functional parameter $h(c)$ that is characteristic for each algebra. As examples we give the structure constants $\mathcal{C}_{33}^4$ and $\mathcal{C}_{44}^4$ for the algebras of type $W(2, 3, 4, \dots)$ (that include the WA_{n-1} -algebras) and the structure constant $\mathcal{C}_{44}^4$ for the algebras of type $W(2, 4, \dots)$, especially for all the algebras WD_n , $WB(0, n)$, WB_n and WC_n . It also includes the bosonic projection of the super-Virasoro algebra and a yet unexplained algebra of type $W(2, 4, 6)$ found previously.

*e-mail: HORNFECK@TO.INFN.IT; 31890::HORNFECK

1 Introduction

In recent years much effort has been put into the classification of W -algebras [1, 2, 3]¹. However, there seem still to be cases that withstand such classifications. One example occurs for W -algebras of type $W(2, 4, 6)$ that show four solutions [5]: Two have been identified with the algebras WB_3 and WC_3 and a third solution is the bosonic projection of the super-Virasoro algebra [6, 7]. But a fourth algebra exists that has not yet found an explanation [8]. So far the only way to decide definitely the number of solutions of a general W -algebra of type $W(2, d_1, d_2, \dots)$ is by explicit construction, a task that would go soon beyond the available computer power.

In this paper we shall start from a different point of view that describes the known W -algebras based on the simple Lie-algebras but also allows for additional solutions. Especially it includes the fourth solution of $W(2, 4, 6)$ in a very surprising (though at the moment only formal) way.

Computing structure constants by solving Jacobi-identities in the usual way always makes use of the whole set of fields of the algebra. As a consequence, even structure constants involving only low-dimensional fields are impossible to obtain in the conventional way if the algebra contains many or “high”-dimensional fields. In this way already the algebra of type $W(2, 4, 6)$ is almost at the limit that can be accessed with standard methods.

Contrary to that, our approach will only involve Jacobi-identities with those fields that enter in the structure constants in which one is interested. Starting from the highest weights [9] for the W -algebra we find that a set of highest weights exists that considerably simplify the construction of the structure constants of the algebra.

In section 2 we explain our philosophy in the case of the W_3 -algebra and in the following sections 3 to 5 we apply our ideas to more complicated W -algebras and give some examples for structure constants that will include the simplest ones for the algebras WA_{n-1} , WB_n , WC_n , WD_n and $WB(0, n)$ as functions of n .

¹For a review on W -algebras see e.g. ref. [4].

2 Preliminaries: The W_3 -algebra

The W_3 -algebra of Zamolodchikov [10] is certainly one of the best investigated W -algebras. Because of its relative simplicity we shall start our discussion with it.

A highest weight state $|h, w\rangle$ is a primary state, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} L_n |h, w\rangle &= 0 & \text{for } n > 0 \\ L_o |h, w\rangle &= h |h, w\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and is an eigenstate of W_o , whereas it is annihilated by the positive frequency operators W_n :

$$\begin{aligned} W_n |h, w\rangle &= 0 & \text{for } n > 0 \\ W_o |h, w\rangle &= w |h, w\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

In [11] the degenerate representations have been investigated. By applying the operators L_n and W_n (with $n < 0$) to the highest weight states $|h, w\rangle$ we can produce other primary states. At the first level it is of the form

$$\chi_1 = \left(2h W_{-1} - 3w L_{-1} \right) |h, w\rangle \quad (2.3)$$

and at the second level

$$\chi_2 = \left(h(5h+1) W_{-2} - 12w L_{-1}^2 + 6w(h+1) L_{-2} \right) |h, w\rangle \quad (2.4)$$

For specific values of h and w these states become null-states and the representation is degenerate. The state χ_1 is a null-state whenever

$$w^2 = -\frac{2h^2(c-32h-2)}{9(5c+22)} \quad (2.5)$$

and χ_2 is a null-state if w is given by eq. (2.5) and the conformal dimension h of the highest weight state obeys the quadratic equation

$$0 = \left(h + 1 - \frac{16}{3}\alpha_+^2 \right) \left(h + 1 - \frac{16}{3}\alpha_-^2 \right) = h^2 + \frac{h}{18}(c-14) + \frac{1}{18}c \quad (2.6)$$

It follows that whenever χ_2 is a null-state, also χ_1 is automatically a null-state (and the representation is completely degenerate).

The state $|h, w\rangle$ can be created by a field $P_o(z)$,

$$|h, w\rangle = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} P_o(z) |0\rangle \quad (2.7)$$

and the highest-weight conditions (2.1) and (2.2) translate into the condition that P_o is a primary field of dimension h and in the operator product expansion (OPE) of W with P_o appears as lowest-dimensional field P_o itself with eigenvalue w , but also higher-dimensional fields can occur,

$$\begin{aligned} T \star P_o &= h P_o \\ W \star P_o &= w P_o + P_1 + P_2 \ , \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where P_1 and P_2 are new primary fields of dimension $h+1$ and $h+2$, respectively. They are no longer highest weights, since in the OPE of W with P_1 and P_2 also P_o will in general appear. These new fields can be in some sense regarded to be connected to the states χ_1 and χ_2 . We expect therefore that in the case where χ_1 and χ_2 are null-fields, that is eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) are satisfied, the OPEs (2.8) reduce to ²

$$\begin{aligned} T \star P_o &= h P_o \\ W \star P_o &= w P_o \ . \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We can also make the ansatz (2.9) to our starting point and ask when it is consistent by evaluating the Jacobi-identity (W, W, P_o) . This Jacobi-identity then reproduces exactly eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) ³.

²However, using the free-field realization for the W_3 -algebra and the vertex operator $:\exp i\vec{\beta}\vec{\phi}:$ for P_o (for details see [11]), one can see that this statement is not completely true. Even when χ_1 and χ_2 are null-states, non-zero primary fields of dimension $h+1$ and $h+2$ can be constructed. But the free-field realization also justifies eq. (2.9). On the other hand, in principle the null-state conditions are not necessary conditions for the OPEs (2.9).

³Remember that one Jacobi-identity produces in general a set of constraints.

3 Adding more fields to W_3

We want to consider now a more general W-algebra that has a spin-3 field W_3 , a spin-4 field W_4 and an arbitrary set of other primary fields with dimension > 4 . We shall denote this algebra as $W(2, 3, 4, \dots)$ and examples for such an algebra are the WA_{n-1} -algebras. The general OPEs of the low-dimensional fields are

$$\begin{aligned} W_3 \star W_3 &= \frac{c}{3} I + \sqrt{\mathcal{A}} W_4 \\ W_3 \star W_4 &= \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\mathcal{A}} W_3 + W_5 + W_{6,1} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The structure constant $\mathcal{A}$ depends on the actual algebra; we have not assigned structure constants to W_5 and $W_{6,1}$, because in our discussion will not enter their normalisation-even not their existence or the number of spin-5 and spin-6 fields.

We are again interested in the case of the complete degenerate representation, when the lowest-level states become null-states. Instead of the ansatz (2.9) we have now

$$\begin{aligned} W_3 \star P_o &= w_3 P_o \\ W_4 \star P_o &= w_4 P_o + q_4 P_3 \\ W_5 \star P_o &= w_5 P_o + \dots \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here P_3 is no longer a new field (as were P_1 and P_2 in the example with the W_3 -algebra) but the composite primary field

$$P_3 = : W_3 P_o : + w_3 s_1(h, c) : T' P_o : + w_3 s_2(h, c) : T P_o' : + w_3 s_3(h, c) P_o''' \quad (3.3)$$

that cannot be neglected from the OPE (3.2). Similary, the “...” in eqs. (3.2) stand for other composite fields of the same kind that do not enter the calculation up to the point we want to carry out here, but would be needed for consistency. The Jacobi-identity (W_3, W_3, P_o) already fixes the eigenvalues w_3 and w_4 for any of these algebras,

$$\begin{aligned} w_3^2 &= \frac{2 h^2 [c(1+2h) + 2h(8h-5)]}{9 [c(3-2h) + 2h]} \\ w_4 &= \frac{8(2+c) h [c(1+h) + 2h(9h-7)]}{\sqrt{\mathcal{A}} (22+5c) [c(3-2h) + 2h]} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and the Jacobi-identity (W_3, W_4, P_o) yields $W_{6,1} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w_5 &= \frac{48 (2+c) [c(1+h) + 9h(2h-7)] [c(3+2h) + 2h(32h-27)] w_3}{\sqrt{\mathcal{A}} (114+7c) [c(3-2h) + 2h] [c(2h+1) + 2h(8h-5)]} \\ q_4 &= \frac{144 (h-1) w_3}{\sqrt{\mathcal{A}} [c(2h+1) + 2h(8h-5)]} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and a third order equation for $h(\mathcal{A}, c)$.

On the other hand, $h(\mathcal{A}, c)$ can be inverted to give the structure constant $\mathcal{A}$ as a function of the dimension h of the highest weight satisfying eq. (3.2),

$$\mathcal{A}(h) = \frac{256 (2+c) [c(h-2) - 2h] [c(h+1) + 2h(9h-7)]}{(22+5c) [c(2h-3) - 2h] [c(2h+1) + 2h(8h-5)]} \quad (3.6)$$

Including also the OPE

$$W_4 \star W_4 = \frac{c}{4} I + \mathcal{B} \sqrt{\mathcal{A}} W_4 + W_{6,2} \quad (3.7)$$

we also find the form of the structure constant $\mathcal{B}$ from the Jacobi-identity (W_4, W_4, P_o) :

$$\mathcal{B}(h) = \frac{3 [c^3 (2h^2 - 2h - 9) + 2c^2 (38h^3 - 131h^2 + 75h - 3) - 4ch (45h^2 + 17h - 47) - 8h^2 (41h - 38)]}{8 (2+c) [c(h-2) - 2h] [c(h+1) + 2h(9h-7)]} \quad (3.8)$$

The highest weight h entering in these equations will be in general a function of the central charge c . Contrary to the W_3 -algebra (and later to the algebras of type $W(2, 5, \dots)$ and type $W(2, 6, \dots)$) it is not fixed by the Jacobi-identities and one could imagine that with an arbitrary complicated function $h(c)$ one could produce arbitrary structure constants $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. However, we believe that there are additional strong constraints on the possible form of $h(c)$, without being able to give an answer to that problem. If we would know the *general* form of h , we could deduce the structure constant of any algebra of type $W(2, 3, 4, \dots)$, satisfying eq. (3.2).

For the WA_{n-1} -algebras all the dimensions of the null-fields are known [12] and especially the dimensions of the lowest level null-fields satisfy the quadratic equation

$$4n^2 h^2 + 2h [c - (n-1)(2n+1)] + c(n-1) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

When we denote by $h_{1,2}$ the two solutions of this equation, then $\mathcal{A}(h_1) = \mathcal{A}(h_2)$ (as well as $\mathcal{B}(h_1) = \mathcal{B}(h_2)$) give in a much easier way the structure constants for the WA_{n-1} -algebra, as

obtained in [13] using the free-field realization of ref. [12]. In addition to $h_{1,2}$ there is a third value of h that reproduces the same structure constants and that is given by

$$h_3 = \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{c}{c+1-n} . \quad (3.10)$$

But we know that the $\mathbf{WA}_{n-1}$ -algebra is not the only possibility for $\mathbf{W}$ -algebras of this type. As has been shown in ref. [14], for the algebra $\mathbf{W}(2, 3, 4, 5)$ exist two different solutions: One is given by $\mathbf{WA}_4$ and therefore described by eqs. (3.9) and (3.10). But also for the second algebra the structure constants are of the form (3.6) and (3.8) and in this case the dimensions of the highest weights satisfying eq. (3.2) are

$$h_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{c+4}{c+1}; \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{c(2-c)}{c+1}; \quad h_3 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c}{c+1} . \quad (3.11)$$

It would therefore be interesting to find other examples for algebras of type $\mathbf{W}(2, 3, 4, \dots)$ beyond $\mathbf{WA}_{n-1}$ to get a hint on the general structure of h . But even with our limited knowledge we shall find important consequences in the following examples.

4 $\mathbf{W}$ -algebras of type $\mathbf{W}(2, 4, \dots)$

We shall now consider algebras, where the lowest-dimensional bosonic primary field has spin 4, hence obeying the OPE

$$W_4 \star W_4 = \frac{c}{4} I + \sqrt{c} W_4 + W_6 \quad (4.1)$$

and that there is a highest weight P_o with the property that

$$\begin{aligned} W_4 \star P_o &= w_4 P_o \\ W_6 \star P_o &= w_6 P_o + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

All information we are looking for is already contained in the Jacobi-identity (W_4, W_4, P_o) ; the eigenvalues w_4 and w_6 are

$$w_4 = -\frac{3h \left[c^2 (2h^2 - 2h - 9) + 3c (12h^3 - 49h^2 + 40h - 2) + 2h (12h^2 + 5h - 14) \right]}{(22 + 5c) \sqrt{c} [c(2h - 3)(h - 2) + h(4h - 5)]}$$

$$w_6 = \frac{2(c-1)(22+5c)h[c(h+2)+(5h-2)(3h-4)][c(2h+3)+4h(12h-7)]}{3(24+c)(2c-1)(68+7c)[c(2h-3)(h-2)+h(4h-5)]} \quad (4.3)$$

and the structure constant $\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(h) = \frac{36 \left[c^2(2h^2 - 2h - 9) + 3c(12h^3 - 49h^2 + 40h - 2) + 2h(12h^2 + 5h - 14) \right]^2}{(22+5c)[c(h+1)+(3h-1)(h-2)][c(2h+1)+2h(8h-5)][c(2h-3)(h-2)+h(4h-5)]} \quad (4.4)$$

One observation we make is the fact that $\mathcal{C} = 50/3$ for $c = 1$, independent of h and hence independent of the actual algebra as long as there is no pole at this value of the central charge.

We expect at least four different types of algebras of the form $W(2, 4, \dots)$, that are WD_n , $WB(0, n)$, WB_n and WC_n ⁴. For both the algebras WD_n (integer $n \geq 3$) and $WB(0, m)$ with $n = (2m+1)/2$ (half-integer $n \geq 5/2$) we have for the null-fields the condition [15, 16]

$$4n(n-1)h_{1,2}^2 + h_{1,2}(c+n(3-4n)) + (n-1)c = 0;$$

equivalent to $h_3 = n$. (4.5)

In addition, for $n = 3/2$ we find the bosonic projection of the super-Virasoro algebra [6, 7].

We obtain therefore the structure constant $\mathcal{C}$ for WD_n and $WB(0, n)$ by simply setting $h = n$ or $h = (2n+1)/2$ into eq. (4.4). We can compare the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ of the structure constant $\mathcal{C}$ of WD_n with its known classical limit, given in ref. [17], and find agreement.

For the non-simply laced algebras WB_n and WC_n the two solutions $h_{\pm}$ of a quadratic equation no longer describe the same algebra but interchange WB and WC [18]. The dimensions h_1 and h_2 are now solutions of two different equations,

$$8n(1+n)h_1^2 + 2h_1(c-n(3+2n)) + c(2n-1) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

$$(2n-1)(2n+1)h_2^2 + h_2(c-n(6n+1)) + cn + 2n^2 = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

and there is a third equation, corresponding to h_3

$$2(c+2n)h_3^2 - h_3(n(2n+3) + c(4n+3)) + c(n+1)(2n+1) = 0 \quad , \quad (4.8)$$

⁴Strictly speaking we should not include WD_3 and WD_4 here since these algebras are not of the desired type because they have additional bosonic fields of dimension 3 and 4; it turns out, however, that they are described as well by the formulas.

where h_1 , h_2 and h_3 for the algebra $\mathbf{WB}$ are given by the $(-, +, +)$ solutions of eqs. (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), respectively, and those for the algebra $\mathbf{WC}$ by the solutions of the opposite sign.

The structure constants for $\mathbf{WB}_n$ and $\mathbf{WC}_n$ are more complex and we shall therefore present them in the appendix A. Their classical limit for general n coincide again with the result of ref. [17]. For $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ the result reproduces the structure constants of $\mathbf{WBC}_2$ [19] and $\mathbf{WB}_3$ and $\mathbf{WC}_3$ [5].

In all cases considered we can also ask for the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. We find the result that

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathbf{WD}_\infty] = \mathcal{C}[\mathbf{WB}(0, \infty)] = \mathcal{C}[\mathbf{WB}_\infty] = 54 \frac{(3c+2)^2}{(c+2)(5c+22)} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathbf{WC}_\infty] = 2 \frac{(19c-34)^2}{(2c-1)(5c+22)} \quad (4.10)$$

The even-dimensional fields of the algebra $\mathbf{W}_\infty$ [20] form a subalgebra and it turns out that for this subalgebra the structure constant $(\mathcal{C}_{44}^4)^2$ is identical to eq. (4.9) after making a suitable change of basis that brings us from the primary fields used here to the fields where the $\mathbf{W}_\infty$ -algebra linearizes. Since also the values for w_4 and w_6 for $\mathbf{W}_\infty$ and $\mathbf{WB}_\infty$ agree, we conjecture that $\mathbf{WB}_\infty$ is the subalgebra of even-dimensional fields of $\mathbf{W}_\infty$ (that is equivalent to $\mathbf{WA}_\infty$). In the same way the algebra $\mathbf{WC}_\infty$ is the subalgebra of the even-dimensional fields of $\mathbf{W}_{1+\infty}$, constructed in ref. [21]. It is also interesting to note that these limits can also be obtained by inserting $h = 1$ or $h = 1/2$, respectively, into eq. (4.4) as these values are the solutions to eq. (4.7) in the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

So far we have taken in all solutions n to be positive. However, from our point of view there is no restriction on the sign of n and we could as well imagine negative values: If the Jacobi-identities are satisfied for any positive n they will also be satisfied for negative n , as long as there is no structure constant that diverges in this case. The only open question will then be, whether the algebra closes with a finite number of fields.

Indeed, there exists at least one example of an algebra that can be described by a negative n : This is the “strange” solution of the algebra $\mathbf{W}(2, 4, 6)$ [5, 8]. One obtains the structure constants of this algebra by setting $h = n = -1$. Very formally we can therefore say that this algebra

is WD_{-1} . Whether one can give to this formal notation a mathematical meaning has to be seen. The structure constant $\mathcal{C}$ does not diverge in this case in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ because n is negative, but because n takes one of four special values where $\mathcal{C}$ diverges in this limit (another is e.g. $n = 3/2$, the bosonic projection of the super-Virasoro-algebra).

Minimal models for the algebra WD_n are given by

$$c(n) = n - 2n(n-1)(2n-1) \left(\frac{(p-q)^2}{pq} \right) . \quad (4.11)$$

When we take our interpretation for the fourth solution of $\text{W}(2, 4, 6)$ as WD_{-1} more seriously, then we should expect minimal models for this algebra, following eq. (4.11) for the central charge, to be a sub-set of

$$c(-1) = -1 + 12 \left(\frac{(p-q)^2}{pq} \right) . \quad (4.12)$$

Indeed, all examples for minimal models found in [8] obey this form with $q = p + 1$.

Even more intriguing, we can also give a formula for the dimension h in this case that is obtained by taking $n = -1$ for the highest weights of WD_n : Let

$$x(\vec{l}_i) = l_i(p+1) - l'_i p \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{l}_i = (l_i, l'_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (4.13)$$

then the dimensions of the highest weights can be written as

$$h(\vec{l}_1, \vec{l}_2; r, r') = \frac{r(x(\vec{l}_1) - 1)(x(\vec{l}_1) + 2x(\vec{l}_2) - 6 - r) + r'(x(\vec{l}_2) - 1)(x(\vec{l}_2) - 4 - r')}{2p(p+1)} \quad (4.14)$$

where $p = 2m + 1$ with positive integer m and

$$l_2 = l_1 = (1, 1) \quad r = r' = 1 \quad (4.15)$$

$$\text{or} \quad l_2 = (1, 1) \quad l_1 = (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2) \quad 1 \leq r \leq m-1, \quad r' = 1 \quad (4.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or} \quad l_2 &= (1, 2) & l_1 &= (2, 1) \\ l_2 &= (2, 1) & l_1 &= (1, 2) \end{aligned} \quad 1 \leq r < r' \leq m-1 \quad (4.17)$$

The m^2 dimensions (4.14) reproduce the values for the examples given in ref. [22] for $m = 3$ and in ref. [8] for $m = 4$ and $m = 5$. For $m = 2$ we get the highest weights of the Virasoro minimal model at $c = -3/5$. However, the restrictions used here ($q = p + 1$, p odd, bounds for

$\vec{l}_i$ and r, r') were found completely by comparing with these examples ⁵; until we have a better understanding what we mean by “WD₋₁”, these bounds remain mysterious.

We presented here this formal identification only for the structure constant $\mathcal{C}_{44}^4$, but indeed we included also the spin-6 field and computed all structure constants up to this field (see appendix B) and they agree with this identification (of course this is also true for the other W(2, 4, 6)-algebras).

It might also be interesting to note that with the ansatz that $h_{1,2}$ are given by both the solutions $h_{\pm}$ of a general quadratic equation of the form

$$h^2 + h(a_1c + a_2) + (a_3c + a_4) = 0 \quad (4.18)$$

with free parameters $a_1, \dots, a_4$, the algebra W(2, 3, 4, ...) has only one solution of this type, given by WA_{n-1}, eq. (3.9), whereas for W(2, 4, ...) we find apart from the solution of WD_n and WB(0, m), eq. (4.5), and the special case WB₂=WC₂ another possibility, given by

$$\begin{aligned} 4n(n-1)h_{1,2}^2 + h_{1,2}(c + (n-1)(1-4n)) + (n-1)c + (n-1)^2 &= 0; \\ h_3 &= n \frac{c}{c+n-1} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

We do not know of any example of an W-algebra connected to this case and it has to be seen, whether such an algebra exists (for some or any value of n).

5 W-algebras of type W(2, 5, ...) and type W(2, 6, ...)

For the algebras of type W(2, 5, ...) and W(2, 6, ...) the Jacobi-identities become strong enough to determine also the dimension of the highest weights. Among the solutions for W(2, 5, ...) we would expect the algebra WE₆ = W(2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12). For the type W(2, 6, ...) we should find the orbifold of W₃ (because of the parity-conservation of W₃, the spin-6 composite field

⁵In a “normal” WD minimal model the rôles of l, l' and r, r' are interchanged: There, r and r' run over a fixed range, whereas the bounds for l and l' depend on p .

$W_6 \sim : W_3 W_3 : + \dots$ spans an algebra of the desired type and for the same reason W_3 plays the rôle of P_o , $WG_2 = W(2, 6)$, $WF_4 = W(2, 6, 8, 12)$ and $WE_7 = W(2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18)$.

In the case of $W(2, 5, \dots)$ in the simplest Jacobi-identity (W_5, W_5, P_o) does not enter a structure constant and we find a 5th-order condition for $h(c)$. For $W(2, 6, \dots)$ we find the structure constant $C_{66}^6(h)$ and the solutions for h

$$h = 3 \quad (\text{for the orbifold of } W_3); \quad (5.1)$$

$$28h^2 + h(c - 26) + 3(c + 2) = 0 \quad \text{leading to the structure constant of } WG_2, \\ \text{as given in [23, 24, 5];} \quad (5.2)$$

as well as a third order equation,

$$88 + 20c - 64h - 13ch - 22h^2 + 2ch^2 + 16h^3 = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

and a 6th-order condition.

However, it is doubtful that the WE-algebras are contained in these solutions for $W(2, 5, \dots)$ and $W(2, 6, \dots)$, since the algebras WE_i are simply laced we would therefore expect that h again satisfies a quadratic equation. Also the algebra WF_4 is missing: There is no spin-10 field in WF_4 , but only our solution corresponding to WG_2 is without it.

We have also to remember that we are only looking at one Jacobi-identity $((W_5, W_5, P_o)$ or (W_6, W_6, P_o) , respectively) at this point and we therefore get necessary conditions for h . It is not at all evident that the solutions to the 5th-order condition in the case of $W(2, 5, \dots)$ and the 3rd-order and the 6th-order condition for $W(2, 6, \dots)$ lead to W-algebras.

One reason why WF_4 (and WE_i ?) are missing might be that the condition that *all* the fields P_i , $i \neq 0$, drop out of the OPE of the W -fields with P_o *simultaneously* is no longer satisfied.

6 Conclusions

All calculations are based on the assumption that there exists a highest weight P_o such that its OPE with the lowest-dimensional bosonic generator W obeys the simple rule $W \star P_o = w P_o$. When we consider as an example the $\mathbf{WA}_{n-1}$ -algebra, the fields with even parity form a subalgebra of the type $\mathbf{W}(2, 4, \dots)$. However, as it is clear from eq. (3.2), the field P_3 given by eq. (3.3) is not of the form we need for null-states and therefore a reduction to eq. (4.2) will only happen accidentally. By taking the solution e.g. for $\mathbf{WA}_{n-1}$, ($n \geq 3$ integer), this condition is only satisfied for $n = 4$ and $n = \infty$. We see that our simple ansatz will not be true in general for orbifold constructions except single cases (see examples given).

Moreover, instead of our original ansatz we could think of a different one of the form $W \star P_o = w P_1$ (or other fields than P_o). Such an ansatz would be automatically needed for example if W is fermionic. We have not studied whether in the cases considered here a different ansatz would lead to different solutions. In some examples we checked that it reproduced known results (with different values of h). However, it seems likely that for the algebras $\mathbf{WE}$ and $\mathbf{WF}$ we need a even more complicated ansatz.

Another draw-back of our approach is that we are not able to deduce the field content of our algebras. This has to be obtained by other means. On the other hand, we were able to obtain structure constants for a variety of algebras, like $\mathbf{WD}_n$ or $\mathbf{WB}_n$ as functions of n , a task that would be principally impossible by evaluating the Jacobi-identities of the algebra itself.

Moreover it is no longer necessary to give to each single algebra a new set of solution of the structure constants, but it is sufficient to write down the general function $\mathcal{C}(h)$ and then for each algebra once its form of $h(c)$ (this is especially true for $\mathbf{W}$ -algebras of the same type).

The calculations up to the point presented are relatively simple. We could also get higher structure constants in the same way, but then calculations will turn out to be more cumbersome because then also composite primary fields of the algebra will contribute (that did not enter so far).

Of course also other examples can be considered, for example algebras with a set of several spin-4 fields etc. It would also be interesting to find other examples that correspond to negative n in eq. (4.5).

Acknowledgements

Calculations have been carried out with the Mathematica-package for calculating operator product expansions by K. Thielemans [25].

I would like to thank W. Eholzer, A. Honecker, R. Hübel and E. Ragoucy for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript.

I am grateful to the University of Torino for kind hospitality during this work and to the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique ENSLAPP, Groupe d'Annecy, during its final stage.

A Structure constant for WB_n and WC_n

The structure constant $\mathcal{C}$ (see eq. (4.1)) for WB_n and WC_n has the general form

$$\mathcal{C}[WB_n, WC_n] = \frac{g_1 \pm g_2 \sqrt{y}}{g_3} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = & c^6 \left(-1694 + 1191n + 2202n^2 - 712n^3 - 672n^4 + 96n^5 + 64n^6 \right) + \\ & 3c^5 \left(110 - 12167n - 9349n^2 + 20942n^3 + 4816n^4 - 8920n^5 - 432n^6 + 960n^7 \right) + \\ & 3c^4 \left(1024 + 10626n - 68841n^2 - 193562n^3 + 32218n^4 + 163136n^5 - 58656n^6 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 32672n^7 + 12704n^8 \right) + \\ & 2c^3 \left(-2204 + 8274n + 225492n^2 + 384408n^3 - 866403n^4 - 1414656n^5 + 469512n^6 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 436560n^7 - 340080n^8 + 54208n^9 \right) + \\ & 12c^2n \left(-3118 - 21773n + 8646n^2 + 314624n^3 + 318922n^4 - 377096n^5 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 345264n^6 + 77088n^7 - 1760n^8 \right) + \\ & 24cn^2 \left(-1260 - 13824n - 73493n^2 + 3500n^3 + 269320n^4 + 199120n^5 - 14800n^6 - 8192n^7 \right) + \\ & 32n^3 \left(-1960 - 6090n - 39153n^2 - 88436n^3 - 56328n^4 + 2256n^5 + 8816n^6 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$g_2 = (n-2)(1-c)(22+5c)^2 \left(5c + 28n + 2cn + 40n^2 \right) \left(c + 4cn + 6n^2 + 8n^3 + 8n^4 \right) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_3 = & (n-1)(-1+2c)(22+5c) \left(2c - 5n + cn + 2n^2 \right) \left(2 + c + 11n + cn + 12n^2 \right) \\ & \left(3c + 10n + 2cn + 12n^2 \right) \left(3c + 20n - 8cn + 8n^2 + 4cn^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and

$$y = c^2 + 2cn(1 - 6n - 8n^2) + n^2(3 + 2n)^2. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For the algebra WB_n we have to take the “−”, for the algebra WC_n the “+”-sign in (A.1).

B Structure constants for $W(2, 4, 6, \dots)$

For the algebra with OPEs

$$\begin{aligned}
W_4 \star W_4 &= \frac{c}{4} I + \sqrt{\mathcal{C}} W_4 + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} W_6 \\
W_4 \star W_6 &= \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} W_4 + \mathcal{E} \sqrt{\mathcal{C}} W_6 + W_{8,1} \\
W_6 \star W_6 &= \frac{c}{6} I + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{E} \sqrt{\mathcal{C}} W_4 + \mathcal{F} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} W_6 + W_{8,2} + W_{10}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

we find in addition to the structure constant $\mathcal{C}$, eq. (4.4), the structure constants

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(h) &= 12 \frac{(c-1)(22+5c)^2 [c(h+2) + (5h-2)(3h-4)]}{(24+c)(2c-1)(68+7c)[c(h+1) + (3h-1)(h-2)]} \times \\
&\quad \frac{[c(2h+3) + 4h(12h-7)][c(2h-5)(h-3) + 2h(4h-7)]}{[c(2h+1) + 2h(8h-5)][c(2h-3)(h-2) + h(4h-5)]}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(h) &= \\
&\quad \frac{(2c-1)(68+7c)(h-4)[c(h+3) + 4h(12h-13)]}{6(24+c)[c^2(2h^2-2h-9) + 3c(12h^3-49h^2+40h-2) + 2h(12h^2+5h-14)]}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(h) &= \frac{5}{9(c-1)(24+c)(22+5c)} \times \\
&\quad \frac{1}{[c(h+2) + (5h-2)(3h-4)][c(2h+3) + 4h(12h-7)][c(2h-5)(h-3) + 2h(4h-7)]} \times \\
&\quad \left\{ 4c^6 (-9-h+h^2) (-87-4h+4h^2) + \right. \\
&\quad 3c^5 (17016-32683h+36363h^2-2692h^3-3732h^4+656h^5) + \\
&\quad 3c^4 (10488-537784h+912383h^2-779183h^3+510680h^4-155788h^5+16704h^6) + \\
&\quad 24c^3 (-3900+39820h+567630h^2-1411325h^3+1089288h^4-319940h^5+34032h^6) + \\
&\quad 24c^2 (-41856+100768h-554146h^2+1010616h^3-696919h^4+127816h^5+20976h^6) + \\
&\quad 96ch (113632-496572h+799600h^2-505275h^3+124168h^4-15600h^5) + \\
&\quad \left. 1024h^2 (-10759+53477h-94159h^2+66156h^3-15696h^4) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

For the algebra $W(2, 4, 6)$ this is the complete set of structure constants among the simple fields. Especially they agree with the ones given in [5] for the bosonic projection of the super-Virasoro algebra for $h = n = 3/2$ and with the special fourth solution for $h = n = -1$.

References

- [1] L. Fehér, L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Tsutsui and A. Wipf, Phys. Rep. **222** (1992) 1
- [2] L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, “*W-algebras and superalgebras from constrained WZW models: A group theoretical classification*”, ENSLAPP-AL-391-92, to appear in Communications in Mathematical Physics
- [3] P. Bowcock and G.M.T. Watts, Nucl. Phys. **B379** (1992) 63
- [4] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rep. **223** (1993) 183
- [5] H.G. Kausch and G.M.T. Watts, Nucl. Phys. **B354** (1991) 740
- [6] P. Bouwknegt, “*Extended conformal algebras from Kac-Moody algebras*” in “*Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and Lie groups*”, ed. V. Kac, Proc. CIRM-Luminy conference 1988, World Scientific Singapore (1989)
- [7] H.G. Kausch, “*Chiral Algebras in Conformal Field Theory*”, PhD-Thesis at the University of Cambridge (1991)
- [8] W. Eholzer, A. Honecker and R. Hübel, Phys. Lett. **308B** (1993) 42
- [9] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1984) 333
- [10] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **65** (1985) 1205
- [11] V.A. Fateev and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B280** [FS18] (1987) 644
- [12] V.A. Fateev and S.L. Lukyanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A3** (1988) 507
- [13] K. Hornfeck, Phys. Lett. **275B** (1992) 355
- [14] K. Hornfeck, “*W-algebras with set of primary fields of dimensions (3,4,5) and (3,4,5,6)*”, KCL-TH-92-9, DFFT-70/92, hep-th/9212104, to appear in Nuclear Physics **B**
- [15] V.A. Fateev and S.L. Lukyanov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **49** (1989) 925
- [16] V.A. Fateev and S.L. Lukyanov, Sov. Sci. Rev. A Phys. **15** (1990) 1

- [17] L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, “*Folding the W-algebras*”, ENSLAPP-AL-408/92, to appear in Nuclear Physics **B**
- [18] E. Frenkel, V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992) 295
- [19] P. Bouwknegt, Phys. Lett. **207B** (1988) 295
- [20] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans and X. Shen, Nucl. Phys. **B339** (1990) 191
- [21] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans and X. Shen, Phys. Lett. **242B** (1990) 401
- [22] W. Eholzer, M. Flohr, A. Honecker, R. Hübel, W. Nahm and R. Varnhagen, Nucl. Phys. **B383** (1992) 249
- [23] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and S. Schrans, Phys. Lett. **245B** (1991) 471
- [24] R. Blumenhagen, M. Flohr, A. Kliem, W. Nahm, A. Recknagel and R. Varnhagen, Nucl. Phys. **B361** (1991) 255
- [25] K. Thielemans, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **C2** (1991) 787